Performance characterization of HP ProLiant BL685c G5 with Quad-Core AMD Opteron processors (2.3 GHz) in a 64-bit HP Server Based Computing environment



Audience 3 Introduction 3 AMD Opteron processors 4 AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management 5 Test methodology. 6 Test tools 7 More information 7 User profiles 7 Test scenarios 8 Performance and scalability metrics 8 Test topology. 9 Configurations 10 HP SBC server summary. 11 Performance test results. 12 Perform values 13 Canary times. 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 MB BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19 Mistorical scalability limitations 19 More construction 20	Executive summary	3
AMD Opteron processors 4 AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management 5 Test methodology 6 Test tools 7 More information 7 User profiles 7 Test scenarios 8 Performance and scalability metrics 8 Performance and scalability metrics 10 HP SBC server summary 9 Configurations 10 HP SBC server summary 11 Perform values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A - HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B - Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions. 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	Audience	3
AMD Opteron processors 4 AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management 5 Test methodology 6 Test tools 7 More information 7 User profiles 7 Test scenarios 8 Performance and scalability metrics 8 Performance and scalability metrics 10 HP SBC server summary 9 Configurations 10 HP SBC server summary 11 Perform values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A - HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B - Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions. 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	Introduction	
AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management 5 Test methodology. 6 Test tools 7 More information 7 User profiles 7 Test scenarios 8 Performance and scalability metrics 8 Test topology. 9 Configurations 10 HP SBC server summary. 11 Perform values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary. 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 17 Options 17 Performance 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 xó4 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Test tools 7 More information 7 User profiles 7 Test scenarios 8 Performance and scalability metrics 8 Test topology 9 Configurations 10 HP SBC server summary 11 Performance test results 12 Perfmon values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Test tools 7 More information 7 User profiles 7 Test scenarios 8 Performance and scalability metrics 8 Test topology 9 Configurations 10 HP SBC server summary 11 Performance test results 12 Perfmon values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	Test methodology	
More information7User profiles7Test scenarios8Performance and scalability metrics8Test topology9Configurations10HP SBC server summary11Performance test results12Perfmon values13Canary times14Test analysis summary15Appendix A - HP BladeSystem16HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure16Management17Options17HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure17Performance18Management18Options18Appendix B - Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions19Historical scalability limitations19		
User profiles7Test scenarios8Performance and scalability metrics8Test topology.9Configurations10HP SBC server summary.11Performance test results.12Perfmon values13Canary times.14Test analysis summary.15Appendix A – HP BladeSystem16HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure16Management17Options.17HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure18Management18Options.18Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions.19Historical scalability limitations.19		
Test scenarios8Performance and scalability metrics8Test topology.9Configurations10HP SBC server summary.11Performance test results12Perfmon values13Canary times14Test analysis summary15Appendix A – HP BladeSystem16HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure16Management17Options17HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure17Performance18Management18Options18Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions19Historical scalability limitations19		
Test topology		
Configurations10HP SBC server summary11Performance test results12Perfmon values13Canary times14Test analysis summary15Appendix A - HP BladeSystem16HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure16Management17Options17HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure17Anagement17Options17Appendix B - Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions19Historical scalability limitations19	Performance and scalability metrics	8
Configurations10HP SBC server summary11Performance test results12Perfmon values13Canary times14Test analysis summary15Appendix A - HP BladeSystem16HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure16Management17Options17HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure17Anagement17Options17Appendix B - Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions19Historical scalability limitations19	Test topology	0
HP SBC server summary. 11 Performance test results. 12 Perfmon values 13 Canary times. 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options. 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions. 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Performance test results. 12 Perfmon values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	•	
Perfmon values 13 Canary times 14 Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Nanagement 17 Options 17 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Canary times14Test analysis summary15Appendix A – HP BladeSystem16HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure16Management17Options17HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure17Performance18Management18Options18Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions19Historical scalability limitations19		
Test analysis summary 15 Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Management 18 Management 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Appendix A – HP BladeSystem 16 HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Management 18 Management 18 Management 18 Options 18 Options 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	Canary times	14
HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Options 18 Istorical scalability limitations 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	Test analysis summary	15
HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure 16 Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Options 18 Management 18 Options 18 Istorical scalability limitations 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	Annendix A - HP BladeSystem	16
Management 17 Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Options 17 HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure 17 Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19	•	
Performance 18 Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Management 18 Options 18 Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions 19 Historical scalability limitations 19		
Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions		
Historical scalability limitations	Options	18
Historical scalability limitations	Appendix B - Using Microsoft Windows Sonor 2003 x64 Editions	10

А	ppendix C – SBC solution sizing	21
	Online sizer tool	
Fc	or more information	23

Executive summary

Important:

This document describes a performance characterization performed utilizing the HP 64-bit test harness, which incorporates a Microsoft® Office 2003 workload. Test results cannot be compared directly with the results of tests performed

using the 32-bit Office XP- or Office 2003-based harness.

The HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade delivers uncompromising performance and expandability in a dense form factor. With up to four Quad-Core AMD Opteron[™] processors, 64 GB of DDR2 memory, two hot plug serial hard drives, four integrated network adapters and three I/O expansion slots, the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade can support the most demanding enterprise-class applications.

A four-socket¹ HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade with the AMD Opteron Processor Model 8356 (2.3 GHz) can provide optimal support for up to the following numbers of users (as described in <u>Table 2</u>) in a 64-bit HP Server Based Computing (SBC) environment:

Heavy Users	301
Medium Users	444
Light Users	544

Since the kernel memory constraints that limit scalability in a 32-bit HP SBC environment have been removed, this performance characterization demonstrates that customers can expect to fully utilize the resources of this server in a 64-bit environment, even when running their 32-bit applications.

Testing performed in March 2008 is described.

Audience

This performance characterization is intended primarily for IT professionals planning HP SBC solution deployments. The performance and sizing information provided herein is designed to help customers estimate the number of HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blades required for a particular environment.

Introduction

The HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade (shown in Figure 1) is ideal for multi-threaded, multi-tasked environments, high-performance computing, and HP SBC.

Note:

This server blade is deployed within an HP BladeSystem enclosure. For more information, refer to <u>Appendix A – HP BladeSystem</u>.

¹ Four-processor, also known as 4P

Figure 1. HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade



AMD Opteron processors

The HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade supports up to four Quad-Core AMD Opteron 8300 Series processors. This native quad-core processor delivers the following benefits:

• Outstanding performance

The Quad-Core Opteron processor is designed for optimal multi-threaded application performance. Its native quad-core implementation features four cores on a single die for more efficient data sharing, while the enhanced cache structure and integrated memory controller can sustain application throughput. This processor provides outstanding processing power and, together with its performance-per-watt enhancements, can improve IT responsiveness while maintaining data center costs.

• Enhanced power efficiency

Thanks to Enhanced AMD PowerNow![™] technology and the introduction of AMD CoolCore[™] technology, Quad-Core Opteron processors are very power-efficient, helping to reduce power needs and cooling costs in the data center.

(For more on power management enhancements, see AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management.)

• Optimal virtualization

Featuring AMD Virtualization[™] (AMD-V[™]) technology with nested paging acceleration, Quad-Core Opteron processors can accelerate the performance of virtualized applications and improve efficiency when switching between virtual machines; as a result, customers can typically host more virtual machines and users per system, maximizing the consolidation and power-saving benefits of virtualization.

Investment protection

By leveraging AMD's Common Core Strategy and Same Socket Technology, Quad-Core Opteron processors can minimize changes to the customer's software and data center infrastructure, protecting IT investments and simplifying management.

AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management

Dual Dynamic Power Management functionality allows each processor to maximize the power-saving benefits of Enhanced AMD PowerNow! without compromising performance, reducing idle power consumption and enabling per-processor power management in multi-socket systems to further reduce power consumption.

By powering core and memory controller voltage planes independently, Dual Dynamic Power Management can enhance both performance and power management.

Benefits include:

• Increased performance

The memory controller is able to run at a higher frequency, helping to reduce memory latency and thus improving application performance.

• Improved power management

By operating independently from the memory controller, the cores in a Quad-Core Opteron processor can exploit the power savings offered by Enhanced PowerNow! more often, resulting in reduced power and cooling bills. In addition, the processor reduces power to the northbridge² when memory is not in use, while continuing to provide full power to the cores.

The following sections of this paper describe the testing performed by HP to characterize the performance and scalability of an HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade in a 64-bit HP SBC environment.

Note:

A 64-bit HP SBC environment eliminates the kernel memory constraints that can limit server scalability in a 32-bit HP SBC environment. For more information, refer to <u>Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003</u> <u>x64 Editions</u>.

² Or memory controller hub (MCH)

Test methodology

HP continues to upgrade existing HP ProLiant servers and introduce new servers to meet particular business needs. To help customers select the appropriate server for their particular HP SBC environment, HP publishes this and other performance characterizations so that you can compare individual server performance and scalability.

This section describes how HP determined the optimal number of users supported by an HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade with the Opteron Processor Model 8356 (2.3 GHz) – henceforth referred to as the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade – in a 64-bit test harness.

Important:

As with any laboratory testing, the performance metrics quoted in this paper are idealized. In a production environment, these metrics may be impacted by a variety of factors.

HP recommends proof-of-concept testing in a non-production environment using the actual target application as a matter of best practice for all application deployments. Testing the actual target application in a test/staging environment identical to, but isolated from, the production environment is the most effective way to characterize system behavior.

This section provides more information on test tools, user profiles and test scenarios.

Test tools

To facilitate the placement and management of simulated loads on an HP SBC server, HP used Terminal Services Scalability Planning Tools (TSScaling), a suite of tools developed by Microsoft to help organizations with Microsoft Windows® Server 2003 Terminal Server capacity planning.

Table 1 describes these tools.

Table 1.	Components	of	TSScaling
----------	------------	----	-----------

Component		Description
Automation tools	Robosrv.exe	Drives the server-side of the load simulation
	Robocli.exe	Helps drive the client-side of the load simulation
Test tools	Qidle.exe	Determines if any scripts have failed and require operator intervention
	Tbscript.exe	A script interpreter that helps drive the client-side load simulation
Help files	TBScript.doc	Terminal Server bench scripting documentation
	TSScalingSetup.doc	A scalability test environment set-up guide
	TSScalingTesting.doc	A testing guide

More information

- Roboserver (Robosrv.exe) and Roboclient (Robocli.exe): Terminal Server capacity planning
- TSScaling: Windows Server 2003 Terminal Server Capacity and Scaling

User profiles

To simulate typical workloads in this environment, HP used scripts based on the Heavy, Medium, and Light User profiles described in Table 2.

Table 2. User profiles incorporated into the test scripts

User class	Activities
Heavy User	Heavy Users (also known as Structured Task Workers) tend to open multiple applications simultaneously and remain active for long periods. Heavy Users often leave applications open when not in use.
Medium User	Medium Users (also known as Knowledge Workers) are defined as users who gather, add value to, and communicate information in a decision-support process. Cost of downtime is variable but highly visible. These resources are driven by projects and ad-hoc needs towards flexible tasks. These workers make their own decisions on what to work on and how to accomplish the task.
	Sample tasks include: marketing, project management, sales, desktop publishing, decision support, data mining, financial analysis, executive and supervisory management, design, and authoring.
Light User	Light Users (also known as Data Entry Workers) input data into computer systems. Activities include transcription, typing, order entry, clerical work and manufacturing.

Table 3 outlines the activities performed by each user class utilizing Office 2003 products.

Activity descrip	lion	Heavy User	Medium User	Light User
Access	Open a database, apply a filter, search through records, add records, and delete records.	Х		
Excel	Open, print and save a large spreadsheet.	Х	Х	Х
Excel_2	Create a new spreadsheet, enter data, and create a chart. Print and save the spreadsheet.		х	Х
InfoPath	Enter data ³ into a form; save the form over an existing form.	Х	Х	
Outlook	First pass: Email a short message. Second pass: Email a reply with an attachment.	Х		
Outlook_2	Create a long reply.	Х		
PowerPoint	Create a new presentation, insert clipart, and apply animation. View the presentation after each slide is created.	Х	Х	
PowerPoint2	Open and view a large presentation with heavy animation and many colors and gradients.	Х		
Word	Create, save, print, and email a document.	X4	Х	Х

Table 3. Activities incorporated into the test scripts for each user class

Test scenarios

For the Heavy User type, HP initiated testing by running the appropriate script with a group of 15 simulated users. Start times were staggered to eliminate authentication overhead. After these sessions finished, HP added 15 more users, then repeated the testing. Further groups of 15 users were added until the maximum number of users was reached.

For Medium and Light User types, HP utilized groups of ten users.

Performance and scalability metrics

While the scripts were running, HP monitored a range of Windows Performance Monitor (Perfmon) counters to help characterize server performance and scalability. In particular, HP has monitored CPU utilization (% **Processor Time**) to establish the optimal number of users supported on an HP SBC server – by definition, the number of users active when processor utilization reaches 80%. At this time, a limited number of additional users or services can be supported; however, user response times may become unacceptable.

To validate scalability metrics obtained using Perfmon, HP also runs canary scripts to characterize Heavy User response times – a very practical metric – for discrete activities such as an application being invoked or a modal box appearing. By monitoring response times as more and more users log on, HP has been able to demonstrate that these times are acceptable when the optimal number of users (as determined using Perfmon counter values) is active.

³ Data entry for Office InfoPath 2003 requires significant processor resources

⁴ Shortened version for Heavy Users


Note:

When running canary scripts, HP considers user response times to become unacceptable when they increase markedly over a baseline measurement.

Test topology

Figure 2 illustrates the HP SBC test environment.

Figure 2. The tested environment



Note:

Test environments such as that shown in Figure 2 are available to customers at <u>HP Solution Centers</u> to help solve a wide variety of business problems.

Configurations

Table 4 summarizes the configurations of servers and clients used in the test environment.

 Table 4. System configurations

Server	Configuration
HP SBC server	 4P HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade with: Opteron Processor Model 8356 (2.3 GHz) 512 KB L2 cache per core; 2 MB L3 cache 32 GB RAM Integrated Smart Array E200i controller with RAID 0 Two 36 GB 15,000 rpm SAS hard drives 48 GB page file on system partition NC373i Multifunction Gigabit Server Adapter
	Windows Server 2003 R2 Enterprise x64 Edition with Service Pack 2; Terminal Services enabled Office 2003
Exchange Server/ Internet Information Services	 2P HP ProLiant DL360 G5 server with: Dual-Core Intel® Xeon® processor (3.2 GHz) 2 x 2 MB L2 cache 2 GB RAM Four 72 GB 15,000 rpm SAS hard drives Integrated Smart Array P400i controller with RAID 5 NC373i Multifunction Gigabit Server Adapter
	Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition Microsoft Exchange Server 2003 Microsoft Internet Information Services (IIS) 6.0
Domain Controller	 2P HP ProLiant DL360 G5 server with: Dual-Core Xeon processor (3.2 GHz) 2 x 2 MB L2 cache 2 GB RAM Four 72 GB 15,000 rpm SAS hard drives Integrated Smart Array P400i controller with RAID 5 NC373i Multifunction Gigabit Server Adapter
	Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition

Continued

Server	Configuration
Client	Variety of Intel Pentium®-based Compaq Evo workstations (600 MHz – 2.533 GHz), each with:
	 At least 256 MB of memory 1024 x 768/16-bit color depth 100 Mbps NIC
	Windows 2000 Professional or Windows XP

HP SBC server summary

Table 5 summarizes the configuration of the tested HP SBC server.

 Table 5. System summary for the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade

Component	Description
Operating system (OS)	Microsoft Windows Server 2003, Enterprise x64 Edition
Version	5.2.3790 Service Pack 2, Build 3790
Other OS description	R2
System name	BL685G5
System model	ProLiant BL685c G5
System type	x64-based PC
Processor (each of 16 cores)	AMD64 Family 16 Model 2 Stepping 3 AuthenticAMD ~2311 MHz
BIOS version/date	HP A08, 2/26/2008
SMBIOS version	2.4
Windows directory	C:\WINDOWS
System directory	C:\WINDOWS\system32
Boot device	\Device\HarddiskVolume1
Locale	United States
Hardware abstraction layer version	5.2.3790.3959 (srv03_sp2_rtm.070216-1710)
User name	[Not available]
Time zone	New Zealand Standard Time

Continued

Table 5. System summary for the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade (continued)

Component	Description
Total physical memory	32,765.62 MB
Available physical memory	30.78 GB
Total virtual memory	79.06 GB
Available virtual memory	78.78 GB
Page file space	48.00 GB
Page file	C:\pagefile.sys

Performance test results

This section outlines the test results used by HP to characterize the performance and scalability of the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade.

- Perfmon values Shows select Perfmon counter values for the Heavy User scenario
- Canary times Shows Heavy User response times for a sample canary script

Note:

As with any laboratory benchmark, the performance metrics quoted in this performance brief are idealized. In a production environment, these metrics may be impacted by a variety of factors; for more information, refer to <u>Appendix C - SBC solution sizing</u>.

HP determined that there were no disk or network bottlenecks in the test environment.

Perfmon values

HP ran a series of performance tests using scripts based on the Heavy, Medium, and Light User profiles. Figure 3 shows the test results for Heavy Users.



Figure 3. % Processor Time values for Heavy Users – showing that 301 Heavy Users were supported when processor utilization reached 80%


Figure 3 shows the optimal number of Heavy Users supported by the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade to be 301.

Canary times

Figure 4 shows sample results for the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade running a typical canary script.

Individual user response times are shown in blue, with a yellow line depicting average response times.

HP analyzed this figure to determine when response times began to increase markedly and consistently over a baseline level, indicating that user response times had become unacceptable.






Figure 4 indicates that the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade could support 340 Heavy Users before response times started to increase markedly, validating the optimal value of 301 Heavy Users derived using **% Processor Time** values.

Test analysis summary

Figure 5 summarizes the optimal numbers of users supported by the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade.

Figure 5. Optimal numbers of users supported in the 64-bit test harness

Heavy	Users				3	801(1)							
Mediu	im Users	5							444	4(1)			
Light l	Jsers										1	544(2)	
0 40 (1) Limited b (2) Limited b) 80 y lack of proc y unacceptab	120 essor resou le user resp	160 prces ponse times	200	240	280	320	360	400	440	480	520	560

For Heavy and Medium Users, HP characterized the scalability of the HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade through the numbers of users supported when CPU utilization reached 80%. For Light Users, response times became unacceptable before CPU utilization reached 80%.

Appendix A – HP BladeSystem

Out of the box, HP BladeSystem removes constraints imposed by conventional IT infrastructures, unifying server, storage, networking, power, and management capabilities in a change-ready, energy-thrifty, and cost-effective system. HP BladeSystem helps customers of all sizes – from large enterprises to small and medium businesses (SMBs) – make an easy transition to an Adaptive Infrastructure by enabling tighter and more dynamic connections between IT and the business process. With its simplified, flexible infrastructure, HP BladeSystem is prepared for change.

Two HP BladeSystem enclosures are offered, the c7000 and c3000.

HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure

The HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure has been designed to tackle the toughest problems facing today's IT infrastructures: cost, time, energy, and change. The c7000 enclosure consolidates the essential elements of a data center – power, cooling, management, connectivity, redundancy, and security – into a modular, self-tuning unit with built-in intelligence. In addition, this enclosure provides flexibility, scalability and support for future technologies.

Figure A-1 shows the integration of server blades with HP BladeSystem scale-out infrastructure.

Figure A-1. Solving infrastructure issues with the HP BladeSystem c7000 enclosure



This powerful 10U enclosure delivers the following features:

Performance

- Up to 16 c-Class server blades
- Up to four redundant I/O fabrics
- Multi-terabit mid-plane to support current and future I/O connections
- N+N or N+1 power redundancy for maximum configuration flexibility

Management

- HP Onboard Administrator provides complete control of the infrastructure
- Interactive HP Insight Display allows easy setup and configuration from the front of the rack
- HP Insight Control Environment for HP BladeSystem automates deployment, provisioning, server and performance management, and patch and vulnerability management, providing a single, easy-touse solution for heterogeneous environments


Options

- HP Active Cool fan technology provides superior airflow, power and acoustic performance and is hot pluggable for easy upgrades (up to a maximum of 10 fans)
- Redundant HP Onboard Administrator module support ensures that enclosure management is always available
- Additional power supplies (up to a maximum of six) can be added to the enclosure to meet changing needs

HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure

The HP BladeSystem c3000 enclosure brings additional capabilities to HP BladeSystem. This enclosure is ideal for remote sites needing two to eight server blades, mid-sized companies with between three and 100 servers, and enterprises with special data center requirements, such as DC power or very limited rack power and cooling capacities.

A rack enclosure is shown in Figure A-2; a tower enclosure, designed with casters, is available for sites without racks.





Performance

- Up to eight c-Class server blades
- Up to three I/O fabrics
- Multi-terabit mid-plane to support current and future I/O connections
- N+N and N+1 power redundancy for maximum configuration flexibility
- Choice of AC (with support for low-line or high-line power) or DC power supplies

Management

- HP Onboard Administrator provides complete control of the infrastructure
- Interactive HP Insight Display allows easy setup and configuration from the front of the rack
- HP Insight Control Environment for HP BladeSystem automates deployment, provisioning, server and performance management, and patch and vulnerability management, providing a single, easy-touse solution for heterogeneous environments

Options

- HP Active Cool fan technology provides superior airflow with enhanced power and acoustic performance and is hot pluggable for easy upgrades (up to a maximum of six fans)
- Additional power supplies (up to a maximum of six) can be added to your enclosure to meet changing needs

Appendix B – Using Microsoft Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions

Microsoft offers a new generation of high-performance platforms for 64-bit applications with continued support for 32-bit applications and existing deployment and management tools – all on the same platform. These new operating systems provide an evolutionary path to 64-bit technology, allowing 64-bit and 32-bit applications to run side-by-side during the gradual migration to 64-bit computing.

64-bit editions of Windows Server 2003 running on Quad-Core AMD Opteron processors can improve the performance of HP SBC servers by processing more data per clock cycle, addressing more memory, and running some numerical calculations faster. Large data sets can be loaded entirely into memory, reducing the need for slower disk access; complex calculations that take hours to complete on a 32-bit system can be performed in minutes; and workloads that once required a large server farm can be performed by a single server.

In addition, this new 64-bit platform also removes many of the limitations that have previously inhibited scalability in an HP SBC environment.

Historical scalability limitations

32-bit Windows operating systems can directly address 4 GB of memory, 2 GB of which is reserved for the operating system kernel and 2 GB for applications. Since kernel memory is shared by all applications, the relatively small size of this space can be particularly problematic in an HP SBC environment where a server may be responsible for hundreds of users and thousands of processes. In this scenario, kernel memory can become constrained, making user response times unacceptably long and effectively limiting the ability of the server to scale up.

Historically, HP SBC environments have been implemented using 1P or 2P servers. Larger, more powerful servers have typically not been deployed for two main reasons:

- Kernel memory issues have limited the performance of more powerful servers; either a disk I/O bottleneck occurs or kernel memory is consumed before processor resources can be fully utilized
- Scalability in a 32-bit symmetric multi-processing (SMP) system is inherently non-linear above 2P

With these 1P and 2P server farms, opportunities to scale up are limited. As a result, customers are forced to scale out, which can create new problems such as deployment and management complexity, high power and cooling requirements, under-utilized resources, and minimal opportunities for server consolidation.

The 64-bit platform shatters the earlier 4 GB limitation – for example, Windows Server 2003 R2 Datacenter x64 Edition with Service Pack (SP) 1 supports up to 2 TB of RAM – effectively removing kernel memory limitations and eliminating disk I/O bottlenecks. By deploying a Windows Server x64 Edition operating system, customers can fully utilize the resources of their existing HP SBC servers and take full advantage of new, more powerful systems – whether they are running 32- or 64-bit applications.

More information

For more information on the impact of 64-bit Windows Server 2003 x64 Editions in an HP SBC environment, refer to the HP <u>white paper</u>, "Scalability and performance of HP ProLiant servers on 64-bit Microsoft Windows Server 2003 in an HP SBC environment."

To learn about 64-bit computing in an HP SBC environment, refer to the HP <u>white paper</u>, "Fundamentals of 64-bit computing in an HP SBC environment."

Appendix C – SBC solution sizing

As with any laboratory benchmark, the performance metrics quoted in this performance brief are idealized. In a production environment, these metrics may be impacted by a variety of factors, including the following:

• Overhead

Agents and services (virus scanning, backup and restore, provisioning, security, management and more) automatically consume overhead. Rogue applications can consume additional overhead. The system architect may wish to provide a 25% – 30% buffer to accommodate this overhead.

• Future growth

To accommodate future growth, the system architect may wish to provide an additional buffer. Alternatively, servers can be added as needed, taking advantage of the server farm's inherent ability to scale out.

• User profiles

The particular application in use directly impacts the number of users supported by a particular server. Further, user behavior can also impact scalability:

- Increased typing rates correspond to fewer users.
- Opening and closing applications (rather than switching between them) or moving quickly between tasks can place a heavier load on the server.
- For accurate sizing, system architects should closely match their user profiles with the Heavy, Medium, and Light User profiles specified by HP in <u>Table 2</u>. If the profiles do not match, more are available using the online sizer tool (described below); alternatively, the system architect can consult <u>HP Services</u> for more information.

• Background grammar checking

Background grammar checking can significantly impact scalability, reducing the number of users supported by as much as 50%. HP disabled background grammar checking for the testing described in this performance brief.

Online sizer tool

To minimize risk, HP offers automated, online tools that can help the customer size an HP SBC solution. The algorithms and methodology used by the sizer are based on the results of customer surveys and thorough testing.

A <u>consolidated sizer</u> is available for enterprise and small and medium business (SMB) environments. Figure C-1 shows a typical HP SBC sizer screen.

Figure C-1. The HP SBC sizer's welcome screen

	United States-English				
» HP Home »	Products & Services	» Support & Drivers	» Solutions	» How to Buy	
» Contact HP			Search:	>	
	HP Server Based	Computing > Server Based Comput	ing (SBC) Sizer		
(p)	Consolid (SBCSM	ated Server Based IBCON)	I Computing S	Sizer	
» Active Answers	Server Ba	ased Computing Sizer			
» Home » Solutions » Tools » Site Map		Welcome This is an automated tool that assists the user with the size and scope of their server environment. The sizing information and algorithms have been developed using testing and performance data on HP servers running the Server Based Computing Solution with Windows Server 2003 Enterprise Edition, Windows Terminal Server and Citrix Presentation Server. • Consolidated Sizer now also provides sizing data for Small and Medium Business applications (SecSMB). • Assists the user in sizing both 32-bit and 64-bit HP ProLiant Server environment. • Assists the user in sizing both 32-bit and 64-bit HP ProLiant Server for your environment. • Provides suich and consistent methodology to determine a 'best-fit' server for your environment. • Provides guick and consistent methodology to determine a 'best-fit' server for your environment. • Dedward6 EnterpriseOne, Office 2003, Oracle 11i, PeopleSoft 8.4, SAPGUI 6.20, Siebel eBusiness 7.9, and Multiple and Custom Applications (based on user input from the selected choice of solutions) • Generates a Bill of Materials (BOM) based on user input from the selected choice of solutions • Itrue requirements exceed the limits of this sizing tool, please contact us directly. • Build Solution>			
Member Services » New user » What's New » Subscribe eNews	Windows Ter Consc (SBCS Assist Suppo arrays Provid Provid Enterp Multip Gener If your require				

Based on information provided by the customer, the sizer can provide a quick, consistent mechanism for identifying the "best-fit" server for a particular HP SBC environment and generate a Bill of Materials (BOM) for that server.

For more information

HP ProLiant BL685c G5 server blade	http://www.hp.com/servers/bl685c		
HP BladeSystem c-Class server blades	http://www.hp.com/servers/cclass		
HP ActiveAnswers for Server Based Computing	http://www.hp.com/solutions/activeanswers/hpsbc		
HP ProLiant Essentials Rapid Deployment Pack (RDP)	http://www.hp.com/go/rdp		
Consolidated HP SBC online sizer tool	http://h71019.www7.hp.com/activeanswers/Secure /70245-0-0-0-121.aspx		
HP Services	http://www.hp.com/hps/		
HP Solution Centers	http://www.hp.com/go/solutioncenters		
Microsoft Windows Server 2003	http://www.microsoft.com/windowsserver2003/eval uation/overview/family.mspx		
Terminal Server enhancements in Windows Server 2003	http://www.microsoft.com/windowsserver2003/techi nfo/overview/termserv.mspx		
Citrix XenApp (formerly Presentation Server)	http://www.citrix.com/site/PS/products/feature.asp?f amilyID=19&productID=186&featureID=4110		
Quad-Core AMD Opteron processors	http://multicore.amd.com/us-en/AMD-Multi-Core.aspx		

To help us improve our documents, please provide feedback at <u>www.hp.com/solutions/feedback</u>.

© 2008 Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. The information contained herein is subject to change without notice. The only warranties for HP products and services are set forth in the express warranty statements accompanying such products and services. Nothing herein should be construed as constituting an additional warranty. HP shall not be liable for technical or editorial errors or omissions contained herein.

Microsoft and Windows are U.S. registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation. AMD Opteron, AMD PowerNow!, AMD Dual Dynamic Power Management, AMD CoolCore, AMD Virtualization, and AMD-V are trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. Intel, Xeon and Pentium are trademarks of Intel Corporation in the U.S. and other countries.

4AA1-8624ENW, March 2008

